UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/723,129 | 11/25/2003 | Cara L. Iddings | IGT1P317/AC042 | 9452 | |
| | 7590 06/09/200 Villeneuve & Sampson | EXAMINER | | | |
| Attn: IGT P.O. Box 70250 Oakland, CA 94612-0250 | | | SHRESTHA, BIJENDRA K | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 3691 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 06/09/2009 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|----------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/723,129 | IDDINGS, CARA L. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| BIJENDRA K. SHRESTHA | 3691 | | |

| | BIJENDRA K. SHRESTHA | 3691 | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 02 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperent for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t | filed within two months | s of the date of | | | | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | out prior to the date of filing a brief, | will not be entered be | cause | | | | |
| (a)⊠ They raise new issues that would require further cor | • | ΓE below); | | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | • | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or | | | ne issues for | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c | | | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>The Applicant amended claims 1, 8, 9, 22,</u> | | | and/or search. | | | | |
| Therefore, proposed amendments will not be enter | | | DTOL 204) | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpilant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | t al Clark and a s | . (P O | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | • | _ | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | I be entered and an e: | xplanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | al and/or appellant fails se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) | s to provide a). | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | To the status of the claims after er | itiy is below of attach | cu. | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| /Alexander Kalinowski/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3691 | | | | | | | |